UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
SAN JOSE DIVISION		
RONALD METCALFE,	)	Case No.: 12-cv-01445-LHK
Plaintiff,	)	OPDER TO SHOW CAUSE
v.	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA; DEPARTMENT CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION:	T OF)	
SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON (SVS		
WARDEN M. EVANS; FORMER SVSP CI	HIEF)	
SVSP CHIEF DEPUTY WARDEN G. LEW		
CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY	)	
	` )	
THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	į	
Defendants.	) )	
NORTHERN DISSANDERONALD METCALFE,  Plaintiff,  v.  STATE OF CALIFORNIA; DEPARTMENT CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION; SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON (SVSWARDEN A. HEDGPETH; FORMER SVSWARDEN M. EVANS; FORMER SVSP CHEF DEPUTY WARDEN G. LEW CORRECTIONAL TRAINING FACILITY (CTF) WARDEN R. GROUNDS; FORMER CTF WARDEN(A) C. NOLL; and DOES 1 THROUGH 50 INCLUSIVE,	STRIC'  JOSE D  () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	T OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION

On March 22, 2012, Plaintiff Ronald Metcalfe ("Metcalfe"), who is represented, filed his original complaint in this matter. ECF No. 1. The matter was assigned to Magistrate Judge Grewal. On June 18, 2012, Metcalfe declined to proceed before the Magistrate Judge and requested that the matter be reassigned to a United States District Judge. ECF No. 5. The matter was reassigned to the undersigned judge on June 19, 2012. ECF No. 7. A case management conference was scheduled for September 26, 2012. 127 days have passed since the filing of the original complaint but no proofs of service have been filed.

Case No.: 12-CV-01445-LHK ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

## Case 5:12-cv-01445-LHK Document 9 Filed 07/27/12 Page 2 of 2

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m) requires a plaintiff to serve a defendant within 120
days after he files the complaint. A court must dismiss a case without prejudice if a plaintiff has
not complied with Rule 4(m), unless the plaintiff shows good cause for his failure to serve the
defendant. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Under Rule 4(m), Metcalfe was required to file proofs of service for each Defendant by July 20, 2012. Metcalfe has not filed proofs of service for any of the Defendants. Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Metcalfe to show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to serve the Defendants as required by Rule 4(m) by August 29, 2012. *See, e.g., Boles v. Courvoisier*, Case No. 11-cv-04854-YGR, 2012 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 77249, at \*20 (N.D. Cal. June 4, 2012) (issuing order to show cause for failure to serve defendants within 120 days). The Court will hold an order to show cause hearing on **September 5, 2012**, at 2:00 p.m. Failure to respond by August 29, 2012 and failure to appear at the hearing scheduled for September 5, 2012, will result in a dismissal without prejudice. The case management conference scheduled for September 26, 2012, is hereby vacated.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 27, 2012

LUCYH. KOH

United States District Judge

Case No.: 12-CV-01445-LHK ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE